UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,914	05/09/2006	Michihiro Hide	290796US0PCT	4453
22850 7590 12/04/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			BLAND, LAYLA D	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary	IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH() TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. nely filed			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca	Examiner Layla Bland ars on the cover sheet with	Art Unit 1623 orrespondence address S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, J. nely filed			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca	S SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(E OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	orrespondence address S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I, nely filed			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca	IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH() TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. nely filed			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will are failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, can	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	N. nely filed			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ause the application to become ABANDONE ate of this communication, even if timely filed	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/9/200</u>					
,	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawning state.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			

10/578,914 Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, drawn to an agent or food comprising a hydrolysate of a water-soluble dietary fiber.

Group II, claim(s) 7, drawn to a method for improving allergic predisposition.

Group III, claim(s) 8, drawn to a method for suppressing production of IgE antibody.

Claims 5 and 6 provide for the use of hydrolysates of water-soluble dietary fibers, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. Claims 5 and 6 are not included in any group at this time.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking groups I-III is a hydrolysate of water-soluble dietary fiber. Bijlsma et al (WO 00/57727, October 5, 2000, PTO-1449 submitted May 9, 2006)

Application/Control Number:

10/578,914 Art Unit: 1623

teach nutritional compositions comprising polysaccharides having molecular weight 8kD to 40,000 kD (see abstract). Hydrolysis products are preferably used [page 3, line 34].

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I-VI does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not definite a contribution over the prior art.

Accordingly, groups I-III are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP

Application/Control Number:

10/578,914 Art Unit: 1623

§ 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number:

10/578,914 Art Unit: 1623

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Layla Bland whose telephone number is (571) 272-9572. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 8:00AM-5:00PM UST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

· Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Layla Bland Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623 November 24, 2007 Shaojia Anna Jiang

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1623

November 24, 2007